

COURTS IN MOHAVE COUNTY

LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Expand community outreach efforts, create service videos to explain court processes, and enhance public websites to focus on frequently used services.
- Expand integration with justice partners; adopt e-filing; increase public access to electronic court records.
- Improve case management and jury management practices; reduce disposition transfer times; make use of workflow and automated performance measures while increasing quality assurance practices.
- Pursue an automated workflow solution integrated with AJACS and provide more e-payment options to address resource reductions.
- Continue to update and refine the Court's Continuity of Operations Plan.
- Work with county staff on integrating technology into Kingman facilities improvements.
- Continue to expand notification services and video sentencing to more limited jurisdiction courts.

CY 2015/16 COURT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Implemented eBench for all superior court judges and began preparations for testing eUniversa e-filing.
- Expanded online payment options and automated notifications to Lake Havasu courts.
- Began providing remote interpreter services at all courts' front counter windows.
- Further expanded video sentencing efforts.
- Converted JOLTS juvenile probation data and implemented JOLTSaz.
- Implemented morale-boosting programs and a newsletter for local employees.
- Led effort to convince audio recording vendor to become Windows 10 compliant, benefitting other users.

STATEWIDE PROJECTS: IMPACTS, CONCERNS, AND PARTICIPATION PLANS

LJ CMS	No impacts or concerns provided; will receive on statewide schedule.
JOLTZaz	Already implemented.
e-Filing/Std Forms	Anxious to start e-filing; desire to be early adopter.
Bench Automation	Already have as an early adopter.
LJ Case Worksheet	LJ courts are interested in the worksheet; will receive with AJACS implementation.

RISKS AND CONCERNS (SECURITY AND ARCHITECTURE)

- Perform local app development with numerous bolt-on applications to statewide resources.
- Addressed architecture issues with servers and infrastructure from past plans.
- Still using SFTP rather than MQ for production data transfers; have older version of .NET in containment status.

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS

Project	Year/Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full ¹	Skeletal ²	Mention ³	
Justice Integration	FY>21		X		All Mohave courts
Expand Service Videos	FY>21		X		All courts; for public
Improve Caseflow Management	FY18		X		Using CourTools & CMS
Inform Business Leaders	FY>21		X		All Mohave courts
Staff Training	FY>21		X		All Mohave courts
Business Continuity Planning	FY19		X		All Mohave courts
Enhance Jury Mgmt Processing	FY>21		X		Superior court
Expand Kiosks	FY18		X		All Mohave courts; underway
CourtWeb Enhancements	FY>21		X		All courts; enhance employee morale
Expand Remote Interpreter Systems	FY18		X		Videoconference
Cyber Security Training	FY>21		X		All Mohave courts
Improve Network Connectivity	FY18		X		All Mohave courts
Implement e-Filing	FY18	X			Superior court; early adopters
Remote Connectivity to Field Officers	FY19		X		Probation

Project	Year/Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full ¹	Skeletal ²	Mention ³	
Acquire a Workflow Program	FY18		X		Must work with AJACS
Expand Public Access to Docs	FY18		X		Clerk of Court
Quality Assurance for All Case Types	FY>21		X		Superior court; all case filings
Electronic Notifications	FY18		X		All Mohave courts
Expand Video Sentencing	FY19		X		Remaining limited jurisdiction courts
Improve Court Facilities -- Kingman Courthouse	FY>21		X		Kingman Superior Court facility

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.